

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY**

PATIENT CARE ASSOCIATES LLC a/s/o
M.R.,

Plaintiff(s),

v.

QUICK CHEK CORPORATION; ABC
CORP. (1-10) (said names being fictitious and
unknown entities),

Defendant(s).

Civil Action No.: 2:13-cv-02680-JLL-MAH

ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Defendant Quick Chek Corporation (hereinafter “Quick Chek”) hereby answers the Complaint filed by Plaintiff Patient Care Associates LLC (“Plaintiff”) and asserts the following Affirmative Defenses.

THE PARTIES

1. With respect to the allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint, Quick Chek admits only that Plaintiff holds itself out to be an ambulatory surgery center specializing in a wide array of surgical procedures and having its office located at 500 Grand Avenue, Englewood, NJ 07631. Quick Chek lacks sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the remaining allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

2. Admitted.

3. It is admitted that Quick Chek conducts business in Bergen County. The allegation that venue was properly laid in Bergen County, prior to the removal of this action to Federal Court, is a legal conclusion to which no response is required. By way of further response, appropriate venue for this Court in the Superior Court of New Jersey is no longer relevant as the case has been properly removed to the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey.

4. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Quick Chek admits only that it maintains a self-funded group health benefit plan pursuant to the terms of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. Except as so admitted, Quick Chek denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

5. Quick Chek lacks sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the remaining allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

6. Quick Chek repeats and incorporates by reference the answer to Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff’s Complaint as if set forth at length herein.

7. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS

8. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Quick Chek admits only that it maintains a self-funded group health benefit plan pursuant to the terms of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. Except as so admitted, Quick Chek denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

9. Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

10. Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

11. Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

12. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Quick Chek admits only that Plaintiff submitted claims for reimbursement. Except as so admitted, Quick Chek denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

13. Quick Chek admits that Plaintiff has demanded payment and demands payment herein of \$27,671.00, but denies that it is liable in that amount or any amount.

14. Quick Chek lacks sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

15. Quick Chek lacks sufficient knowledge to confirm or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint and leaves Plaintiff to its proofs.

16. Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

17. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

FIRST COUNT
(VIOLATION OF ERISA)

18. Quick Chek repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.

19. The allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint is a legal conclusion to which no response is necessary. With respect to the allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Quick Chek admits that Section 502(A) of ERISA provides plan beneficiaries with the right to bring an action to recover benefits under a benefit plan governed by ERISA. To the extent these allegations may be construed to allege or imply any liability against Quick Chek, they are denied.

20. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Quick Chek admits only that it maintains a self-funded group health benefit plan pursuant to the terms of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. § 1001, et seq. Except as so admitted, Quick Chek denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

21. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

22. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

23. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

24. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

25. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

26. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

27. With respect to the allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint, Quick Chek admits only that Plaintiff submitted claims for reimbursement. Except as so admitted, Quick Chek denies each and every other allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

28. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

29. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

30. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

31. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Quick Chek Corporation demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Quick Chek is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

SECOND COUNT
(ERISA – BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY)

32. Quick Chek repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.

33. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

34. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

35. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

36. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

37. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

38. The allegations contained in this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Quick Chek Corporation demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Quick Chek is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

THIRD COUNT
(NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION)

39. Quick Chek repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.

40. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

41. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

42. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

43. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

44. The allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint are legal conclusions to which no response is necessary. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained within this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Quick Chek Corporation demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Quick Chek is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

FOURTH COUNT

45. Quick Chek repeats and incorporates by reference all of the answers to all of the allegations of the foregoing paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.

46. Quick Chek is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations contained within this paragraph of the Complaint. To the extent an answer is deemed necessary, Quick Chek denies each and every allegation contained in this paragraph of the Complaint.

WHEREFORE, Quick Chek Corporation demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Quick Chek is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

CONNELL FOLEY LLP
Liberty View
457 Haddonfield Rd., Ste. 230
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
(856) 317-7100

*Attorneys for Defendant
Quick Chek Corporation*

BY: s/Thomas Vecchio
Thomas Vecchio, Esquire

DATE: May 17, 2013

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. The Complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.
2. The Complaint is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. and Plaintiff is limited to the remedies thereunder as against Quick Chek which are limited to payment of health benefits that were allegedly denied.
3. The Complaint is governed by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), 29 U.S.C. §1001, et seq. and Plaintiff’s claims are pre-empted by ERISA.
4. Plaintiff has failed to exhaust the mandatory administrative remedies under the terms of the applicable health benefit plan. Therefore, this action is premature.
5. Plaintiff’s claims are barred by the express terms of the applicable health benefits plan.
6. Plaintiff seeks benefits that are not eligible for coverage according to the terms of the applicable health benefits plan.
7. Quick Chek did not owe any legal or contractual duty to Plaintiff.
8. Plaintiff lacks standing to assert the claims in the Complaint.
9. Quick Chek did not violate any legal or contractual duty owed to Plaintiff
10. Quick Chek acted reasonably and in good faith at all times.
11. Plaintiff’s complaint is barred by the equitable Doctrines of Waiver, Laches, Unclean Hands and/or Estoppel.

WHEREFORE, Quick Chek Corporation demands judgment dismissing Plaintiff's Complaint plus other such relief to which Quick Chek is entitled as a matter of federal and state law.

CONNELL FOLEY LLP
Liberty View
457 Haddonfield Rd., Ste. 230
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002
(856) 317-7100

*Attorneys for Defendant
Quick Chek Corporation*

BY: s/Thomas Vecchio
Thomas Vecchio, Esquire

DATE: May 17, 2013

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Thomas Vecchio, of full age, hereby certify that the original of the within Answer and Affirmative Defenses has been electronically filed with the Clerk within the time and in the manner prescribed by the Rules of Court and that a copy of the within pleading has been served this date, via email and first-class mail, postage prepaid, upon:

Andrew Bronsnick, Esquire
Massood & Bronsnick
50 Packanack Lake Road East
Wayne, NJ 07470

I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements are willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

DATED: May 17, 2013

By: /s/Thomas Vecchio
Thomas Vecchio, Esquire